## EXHIBIT 2

			Page 1
1	UNITED STATES DIS	TRI	CT COURT
	EASTERN DISTRI	CT	OF TEXAS
2	MARSHALL D	IVI	SION
3			
4			
5	ENTROPIC COMMUNICATIONS,	)	
	LLC,	)	
6		)	
	Plaintiff,	)	
7		)	
	vs.	)	Civil No.
8		)	2:22-CV-00125-JRG
	CHARTER COMMUNICATIONS,	)	
9	INC., CHARTER SPECTRUM	)	
	ADVANCED SERVICES, LLC and	)	
10	SPECTRUM MANAGEMENT HOLDING	)	
	COMPANY, LLC,	)	
11		)	
	Defendants.	)	
12			
13			
14			
15			
16	-		tion of RICHARD KRAMER,
17	Ph.D., taken before Richard		·
18	Registered Merit Reporter,		
19	Reporter, taken pursuant to		
20	Civil Procedure, commencing	g a	t 10:00 a.m., on the
21 22	4th day of May, 2023.		
23			
23 24			
<b>4</b>			

	Page 2		Page 4
1	APPEARANCES	1	VIDEOGRAPHER: Good morning. We are going
2		2	on the record. The time is 10:09 a.m. Central
3	On behalf of the Plaintiff:	3	time on May the 4th, 2023.
4	Katherine L. Allor Jason A. Engel	4	Quality of recording depends on quality of
5	K&L GATES, LLP		
	70 West Madison Street	5	camera and Internet connection participants.
6	Suite 3100	6	What is heard from the witness and seen on the
7	Chicago, IL 60602 312.372.1121	7	screen is what will be recorded.
,	katy.allor@klgates.com	8	Audio and video recording will continue to
8	jason.engel@klgates.com	9	take place unless all parties agree to go off
9		10	the record.
10	On behalf of the Defendants:  David Benyacar	11	This is Media Unit Number 1 of the video
11	ARNOLD, PORTER, KAYE & SCHOLER, LLP	12	recorded deposition of Dr. Richard Kramer taken
12	250 West 55th Street	13	in the matter of Entropic Communications, LLC,
1.2	New York, NY 10019	14	vs. Charter Communications, Inc., filed in the
13	212.836.8000 david.benyacar@arnoldporter.com	15	United States District Court for the Eastern
14	au, io.conjubu e unioraportor.com	16	District of Texas, Marshall Division, Case No.
15		17	2:22-CV-00125.
16	Videographer:	18	My name is Tim Tupiak, and I'm the
17 18	Tim Tupiak	19	videographer. The court reporter is Richard
19		20	Ehrlich. We are both with the firm Veritext
20		21	Legal Solutions.
21		22	I'm not related to any party in this
22 23		23	action, nor am I financially interested in the
24		24	outcome.
	Page 3		Page 5
1	INDEX	1	Counsel will state their appearances and
2 3	Page Exam by David Benyacar 5	2	affiliations for the record beginning with the
4	Exam by Katherine Allor 277	3	noticing attorney after which the reporter will
5	Exam by David Benyacar 279	4	administer the oath.
6		5	MR. BENYACAR: My name is David Benyacar
7 8	EXHIBITS	6	with the law firm of Arnold & Porter
	Page	7	representing Charter Communications.
9		8	MS. ALLOR: Katy Allor from K & L Gates on
10	Exhibit No. 1 - 6	9	behalf of Entropic Communications, LLC. With me
10	Exhibit No. 2 - 39	10	is my colleague Jason Engel. And I'm also here
11		11	on behalf of the witness, Dr. Richard Kramer.
12	Exhibit No. 3 - 58	12	RICHARD KRAMER, DEPONENT, SWORN
12	Exhibit No. 4 - 89		·
13		13	EXAMINATION  DV MP. DENIVACAD.
	Exhibit No. 5 - 125	14	BY MR. BENYACAR:
14	Exhibit No. 6 - 209	15	Q Good morning, Dr. Kramer.
15	20)	16	A Good morning.
	Exhibit No. 7 - 247	17	Q Let me see if I can use this fancy Exhibit Share
16		18	technology.
17 18		19	Katy, is it okay if we call these exhibits
19		20	Kramer Exhibit X?
20		21	MS. ALLOR: Sorry. Your audio is a little
21 22		22	quiet compared to everyone else's.
23		23	THE DEPONENT: Yeah. I'm having a hard
		24	time hearing you.

	Page 122		Page 124
1	A So it can be considered a combination of	1	That's what you know, like I said, I don't
2	electrical components interconnected to perform	2	disagree with the first part of the definition,
3	a particular task or function, yes.	3	A combination of electrical components
4	Q And that's what an integrated circuit is?	4	interconnected to perform a particular task, as
5	I just want to make sure we're using	5	long as that task is known and there's a
6	A A circuit. So	6	function that's assigned to that task.
7	Q What about an integrated circuit?	7	Like I said, the wireless, you know,
8	I'm trying to figure out what like,	8	circuit that I had described, that
9	integrated circuit, is that some particular type	9	telecommunication circuit that I described.
10	of circuit? What makes it integrated?	10	BY MR. BENYACAR:
11	A Usually it means it's within a chip, in general.	11	Q Yes, and I understand that. What I'm trying to
12	Q Does that mean it's its own chip?	12	figure out is if I were to look inside the SoC
13	A It can again, the umbrella being, like, for	13	that you had worked on that you were testifying
14	instance, an ASIC. And I'm speaking in general	14	about, and I saw all the components inside but I
15	terms, an ASIC is an application specific IC.	15	didn't know what each component did, would I be
16	And within that, you know, we're talking	16	able to tell one integrated circuit from another
17	there's SoCs as umbrella can be power supply,	17	integrated circuit?
18	custom power supply chip, custom communications	18	A I don't know. You know, because then you're
19	chip. So there's subsets. Not all integrated	19	asking me if you could see the die and what was
20	application specific ICs are SoCs. But within	20	on the die and how it was laid out on the die,
21	an SoC, as an example, or whatever, and there's	21	and I believe we laid the circuits out
22	no hard, fast, you know, terminology memorized	22	separately in their own, you know, quadrants or
23	dictionary version. I'm just trying to explain	23	whatever, you know, areas. You're asking me to
24	an SoC, as an example, can be considered to have	24	speculate on something.
	* '		8
-	Page 123		Page 125
1		1	
	Page 123 within it separate, integrated circuits. Integrated being integrated. I mean, that's		Page 125 But I think, you know, clearly we designed the circuits that were collections of components
1	Page 123 within it separate, integrated circuits. Integrated being integrated. I mean, that's what the word means.	1	Page 125 But I think, you know, clearly we designed
1 2	Page 123 within it separate, integrated circuits. Integrated being integrated. I mean, that's what the word means. We're kind of switching back and forth	1 2	Page 125 But I think, you know, clearly we designed the circuits that were collections of components that were, you know, logically grouped for the function that they performed. And I believe
1 2 3	Page 123 within it separate, integrated circuits. Integrated being integrated. I mean, that's what the word means. We're kind of switching back and forth between chips. I'm just trying to be clear.	1 2 3	Page 125 But I think, you know, clearly we designed the circuits that were collections of components that were, you know, logically grouped for the function that they performed. And I believe physically you could probably look at the die
1 2 3 4 5 6	Page 123 within it separate, integrated circuits. Integrated being integrated. I mean, that's what the word means. We're kind of switching back and forth between chips. I'm just trying to be clear. We're switching there's a form factor and	1 2 3 4	Page 125 But I think, you know, clearly we designed the circuits that were collections of components that were, you know, logically grouped for the function that they performed. And I believe
1 2 3 4 5	Page 123 within it separate, integrated circuits. Integrated being integrated. I mean, that's what the word means. We're kind of switching back and forth between chips. I'm just trying to be clear. We're switching there's a form factor and then there's what's inside the chip. Sometimes	1 2 3 4 5	Page 125 But I think, you know, clearly we designed the circuits that were collections of components that were, you know, logically grouped for the function that they performed. And I believe physically you could probably look at the die and at least at a high level identify where those were located.
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Page 123 within it separate, integrated circuits. Integrated being integrated. I mean, that's what the word means.  We're kind of switching back and forth between chips. I'm just trying to be clear. We're switching there's a form factor and then there's what's inside the chip. Sometimes those terms are interchangeably loosely used.	1 2 3 4 5 6	Page 125  But I think, you know, clearly we designed the circuits that were collections of components that were, you know, logically grouped for the function that they performed. And I believe physically you could probably look at the die and at least at a high level identify where those were located.  Q Okay. And would you agree with me that a System
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Page 123 within it separate, integrated circuits. Integrated being integrated. I mean, that's what the word means.  We're kind of switching back and forth between chips. I'm just trying to be clear. We're switching there's a form factor and then there's what's inside the chip. Sometimes those terms are interchangeably loosely used. But, you know, how I look at it is an ASIC is	1 2 3 4 5 6 7	Page 125  But I think, you know, clearly we designed the circuits that were collections of components that were, you know, logically grouped for the function that they performed. And I believe physically you could probably look at the die and at least at a high level identify where those were located.  Q Okay. And would you agree with me that a System on Chip is itself a circuit?
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Page 123 within it separate, integrated circuits. Integrated being integrated. I mean, that's what the word means.  We're kind of switching back and forth between chips. I'm just trying to be clear. We're switching there's a form factor and then there's what's inside the chip. Sometimes those terms are interchangeably loosely used. But, you know, how I look at it is an ASIC is application specific within there, there's a	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Page 125  But I think, you know, clearly we designed the circuits that were collections of components that were, you know, logically grouped for the function that they performed. And I believe physically you could probably look at the die and at least at a high level identify where those were located.  Q Okay. And would you agree with me that a System on Chip is itself a circuit?  A Outside the context of the patent, I've not been
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Page 123 within it separate, integrated circuits. Integrated being integrated. I mean, that's what the word means.  We're kind of switching back and forth between chips. I'm just trying to be clear. We're switching there's a form factor and then there's what's inside the chip. Sometimes those terms are interchangeably loosely used. But, you know, how I look at it is an ASIC is application specific within there, there's a subset, and it's me personally, generally	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Page 125  But I think, you know, clearly we designed the circuits that were collections of components that were, you know, logically grouped for the function that they performed. And I believe physically you could probably look at the die and at least at a high level identify where those were located.  Q Okay. And would you agree with me that a System on Chip is itself a circuit?  A Outside the context of the patent, I've not been asked to consider all different possibilities.
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Page 123 within it separate, integrated circuits. Integrated being integrated. I mean, that's what the word means.  We're kind of switching back and forth between chips. I'm just trying to be clear. We're switching there's a form factor and then there's what's inside the chip. Sometimes those terms are interchangeably loosely used. But, you know, how I look at it is an ASIC is application specific within there, there's a subset, and it's me personally, generally speaking, without asked to give a formal	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Page 125  But I think, you know, clearly we designed the circuits that were collections of components that were, you know, logically grouped for the function that they performed. And I believe physically you could probably look at the die and at least at a high level identify where those were located.  Q Okay. And would you agree with me that a System on Chip is itself a circuit?  A Outside the context of the patent, I've not been asked to consider all different possibilities.  In general, and speculatively, it could be
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Page 123 within it separate, integrated circuits. Integrated being integrated. I mean, that's what the word means.  We're kind of switching back and forth between chips. I'm just trying to be clear. We're switching there's a form factor and then there's what's inside the chip. Sometimes those terms are interchangeably loosely used. But, you know, how I look at it is an ASIC is application specific within there, there's a subset, and it's me personally, generally speaking, without asked to give a formal definition, there's an SoC. An SoC can have	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Page 125  But I think, you know, clearly we designed the circuits that were collections of components that were, you know, logically grouped for the function that they performed. And I believe physically you could probably look at the die and at least at a high level identify where those were located.  Q Okay. And would you agree with me that a System on Chip is itself a circuit?  A Outside the context of the patent, I've not been asked to consider all different possibilities.  In general, and speculatively, it could be considered a separate circuit outside of the
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	within it separate, integrated circuits.  Integrated being integrated. I mean, that's what the word means.  We're kind of switching back and forth between chips. I'm just trying to be clear.  We're switching there's a form factor and then there's what's inside the chip. Sometimes those terms are interchangeably loosely used.  But, you know, how I look at it is an ASIC is application specific within there, there's a subset, and it's me personally, generally speaking, without asked to give a formal definition, there's an SoC. An SoC can have separate, integrated circuits inside the chip.	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Page 125  But I think, you know, clearly we designed the circuits that were collections of components that were, you know, logically grouped for the function that they performed. And I believe physically you could probably look at the die and at least at a high level identify where those were located.  Q Okay. And would you agree with me that a System on Chip is itself a circuit?  A Outside the context of the patent, I've not been asked to consider all different possibilities.  In general, and speculatively, it could be considered a separate circuit outside of the scope of the patents.
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Page 123 within it separate, integrated circuits. Integrated being integrated. I mean, that's what the word means.  We're kind of switching back and forth between chips. I'm just trying to be clear. We're switching there's a form factor and then there's what's inside the chip. Sometimes those terms are interchangeably loosely used. But, you know, how I look at it is an ASIC is application specific within there, there's a subset, and it's me personally, generally speaking, without asked to give a formal definition, there's an SoC. An SoC can have separate, integrated circuits inside the chip. Inside there's a physical chip.	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Page 125  But I think, you know, clearly we designed the circuits that were collections of components that were, you know, logically grouped for the function that they performed. And I believe physically you could probably look at the die and at least at a high level identify where those were located.  Q Okay. And would you agree with me that a System on Chip is itself a circuit?  A Outside the context of the patent, I've not been asked to consider all different possibilities.  In general, and speculatively, it could be considered a separate circuit outside of the scope of the patents.  Q So let me just I'm going to introduce what
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Page 123 within it separate, integrated circuits. Integrated being integrated. I mean, that's what the word means.  We're kind of switching back and forth between chips. I'm just trying to be clear. We're switching there's a form factor and then there's what's inside the chip. Sometimes those terms are interchangeably loosely used. But, you know, how I look at it is an ASIC is application specific within there, there's a subset, and it's me personally, generally speaking, without asked to give a formal definition, there's an SoC. An SoC can have separate, integrated circuits inside the chip. Inside there's a physical chip.  Q And so the different integrated circuits, you	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Page 125  But I think, you know, clearly we designed the circuits that were collections of components that were, you know, logically grouped for the function that they performed. And I believe physically you could probably look at the die and at least at a high level identify where those were located.  Q Okay. And would you agree with me that a System on Chip is itself a circuit?  A Outside the context of the patent, I've not been asked to consider all different possibilities.  In general, and speculatively, it could be considered a separate circuit outside of the scope of the patents.  Q So let me just I'm going to introduce what I'm marking as Kramer Exhibit 5.
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Page 123 within it separate, integrated circuits. Integrated being integrated. I mean, that's what the word means.  We're kind of switching back and forth between chips. I'm just trying to be clear. We're switching there's a form factor and then there's what's inside the chip. Sometimes those terms are interchangeably loosely used. But, you know, how I look at it is an ASIC is application specific within there, there's a subset, and it's me personally, generally speaking, without asked to give a formal definition, there's an SoC. An SoC can have separate, integrated circuits inside the chip. Inside there's a physical chip.  Q And so the different integrated circuits, you know what they are because they're components	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Page 125  But I think, you know, clearly we designed the circuits that were collections of components that were, you know, logically grouped for the function that they performed. And I believe physically you could probably look at the die and at least at a high level identify where those were located.  Q Okay. And would you agree with me that a System on Chip is itself a circuit?  A Outside the context of the patent, I've not been asked to consider all different possibilities. In general, and speculatively, it could be considered a separate circuit outside of the scope of the patents.  Q So let me just I'm going to introduce what I'm marking as Kramer Exhibit 5.  (Exhibit No. 5 marked.)
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	within it separate, integrated circuits.  Integrated being integrated. I mean, that's what the word means.  We're kind of switching back and forth between chips. I'm just trying to be clear.  We're switching there's a form factor and then there's what's inside the chip. Sometimes those terms are interchangeably loosely used.  But, you know, how I look at it is an ASIC is application specific within there, there's a subset, and it's me personally, generally speaking, without asked to give a formal definition, there's an SoC. An SoC can have separate, integrated circuits inside the chip. Inside there's a physical chip.  Q And so the different integrated circuits, you know what they are because they're components that perform one function or a different	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Page 125  But I think, you know, clearly we designed the circuits that were collections of components that were, you know, logically grouped for the function that they performed. And I believe physically you could probably look at the die and at least at a high level identify where those were located.  Q Okay. And would you agree with me that a System on Chip is itself a circuit?  A Outside the context of the patent, I've not been asked to consider all different possibilities.  In general, and speculatively, it could be considered a separate circuit outside of the scope of the patents.  Q So let me just I'm going to introduce what I'm marking as Kramer Exhibit 5.  (Exhibit No. 5 marked.)  BY MR. BENYACAR:
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Page 123 within it separate, integrated circuits. Integrated being integrated. I mean, that's what the word means.  We're kind of switching back and forth between chips. I'm just trying to be clear. We're switching there's a form factor and then there's what's inside the chip. Sometimes those terms are interchangeably loosely used. But, you know, how I look at it is an ASIC is application specific within there, there's a subset, and it's me personally, generally speaking, without asked to give a formal definition, there's an SoC. An SoC can have separate, integrated circuits inside the chip. Inside there's a physical chip.  Q And so the different integrated circuits, you know what they are because they're components that perform one function or a different function; is that right? Or is there some	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Page 125  But I think, you know, clearly we designed the circuits that were collections of components that were, you know, logically grouped for the function that they performed. And I believe physically you could probably look at the die and at least at a high level identify where those were located.  Q Okay. And would you agree with me that a System on Chip is itself a circuit?  A Outside the context of the patent, I've not been asked to consider all different possibilities.  In general, and speculatively, it could be considered a separate circuit outside of the scope of the patents.  Q So let me just I'm going to introduce what I'm marking as Kramer Exhibit 5.  (Exhibit No. 5 marked.)  BY MR. BENYACAR:  Q Let me know when you when that pops up for
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	within it separate, integrated circuits.  Integrated being integrated. I mean, that's what the word means.  We're kind of switching back and forth between chips. I'm just trying to be clear.  We're switching there's a form factor and then there's what's inside the chip. Sometimes those terms are interchangeably loosely used.  But, you know, how I look at it is an ASIC is application specific within there, there's a subset, and it's me personally, generally speaking, without asked to give a formal definition, there's an SoC. An SoC can have separate, integrated circuits inside the chip. Inside there's a physical chip.  Q And so the different integrated circuits, you know what they are because they're components that perform one function or a different function; is that right? Or is there some physical manifestation of the fact that there	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Page 125  But I think, you know, clearly we designed the circuits that were collections of components that were, you know, logically grouped for the function that they performed. And I believe physically you could probably look at the die and at least at a high level identify where those were located.  Q Okay. And would you agree with me that a System on Chip is itself a circuit?  A Outside the context of the patent, I've not been asked to consider all different possibilities. In general, and speculatively, it could be considered a separate circuit outside of the scope of the patents.  Q So let me just I'm going to introduce what I'm marking as Kramer Exhibit 5.  (Exhibit No. 5 marked.)  BY MR. BENYACAR:  Q Let me know when you when that pops up for you, Dr. Kramer.
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	within it separate, integrated circuits.  Integrated being integrated. I mean, that's what the word means.  We're kind of switching back and forth between chips. I'm just trying to be clear.  We're switching there's a form factor and then there's what's inside the chip. Sometimes those terms are interchangeably loosely used.  But, you know, how I look at it is an ASIC is application specific within there, there's a subset, and it's me personally, generally speaking, without asked to give a formal definition, there's an SoC. An SoC can have separate, integrated circuits inside the chip. Inside there's a physical chip.  Q And so the different integrated circuits, you know what they are because they're components that perform one function or a different function; is that right? Or is there some physical manifestation of the fact that there are separate, integrated circuits?	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Page 125 But I think, you know, clearly we designed the circuits that were collections of components that were, you know, logically grouped for the function that they performed. And I believe physically you could probably look at the die and at least at a high level identify where those were located.  Q Okay. And would you agree with me that a System on Chip is itself a circuit?  A Outside the context of the patent, I've not been asked to consider all different possibilities.  In general, and speculatively, it could be considered a separate circuit outside of the scope of the patents.  Q So let me just I'm going to introduce what I'm marking as Kramer Exhibit 5.  (Exhibit No. 5 marked.)  BY MR. BENYACAR:  Q Let me know when you when that pops up for you, Dr. Kramer.  This is the excerpt from Newton's Telecom
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	within it separate, integrated circuits.  Integrated being integrated. I mean, that's what the word means.  We're kind of switching back and forth between chips. I'm just trying to be clear.  We're switching there's a form factor and then there's what's inside the chip. Sometimes those terms are interchangeably loosely used.  But, you know, how I look at it is an ASIC is application specific within there, there's a subset, and it's me personally, generally speaking, without asked to give a formal definition, there's an SoC. An SoC can have separate, integrated circuits inside the chip. Inside there's a physical chip.  Q And so the different integrated circuits, you know what they are because they're components that perform one function or a different function; is that right? Or is there some physical manifestation of the fact that there are separate, integrated circuits?  MS. ALLOR: Object to the form.	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Page 125  But I think, you know, clearly we designed the circuits that were collections of components that were, you know, logically grouped for the function that they performed. And I believe physically you could probably look at the die and at least at a high level identify where those were located.  Q Okay. And would you agree with me that a System on Chip is itself a circuit?  A Outside the context of the patent, I've not been asked to consider all different possibilities.  In general, and speculatively, it could be considered a separate circuit outside of the scope of the patents.  Q So let me just I'm going to introduce what I'm marking as Kramer Exhibit 5.  (Exhibit No. 5 marked.)  BY MR. BENYACAR:  Q Let me know when you when that pops up for you, Dr. Kramer.  This is the excerpt from Newton's Telecom Dictionary, Copyright 2001.
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	within it separate, integrated circuits.  Integrated being integrated. I mean, that's what the word means.  We're kind of switching back and forth between chips. I'm just trying to be clear.  We're switching there's a form factor and then there's what's inside the chip. Sometimes those terms are interchangeably loosely used.  But, you know, how I look at it is an ASIC is application specific within there, there's a subset, and it's me personally, generally speaking, without asked to give a formal definition, there's an SoC. An SoC can have separate, integrated circuits inside the chip. Inside there's a physical chip.  Q And so the different integrated circuits, you know what they are because they're components that perform one function or a different function; is that right? Or is there some physical manifestation of the fact that there are separate, integrated circuits?	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Page 125  But I think, you know, clearly we designed the circuits that were collections of components that were, you know, logically grouped for the function that they performed. And I believe physically you could probably look at the die and at least at a high level identify where those were located.  Q Okay. And would you agree with me that a System on Chip is itself a circuit?  A Outside the context of the patent, I've not been asked to consider all different possibilities. In general, and speculatively, it could be considered a separate circuit outside of the scope of the patents.  Q So let me just I'm going to introduce what I'm marking as Kramer Exhibit 5.  (Exhibit No. 5 marked.)  BY MR. BENYACAR:  Q Let me know when you when that pops up for you, Dr. Kramer.  This is the excerpt from Newton's Telecom

	Page 126		Page 128
1	waiting for it.	1	odd, you know.
2	Q Okay. Let me know when you see it.	2	Q Okay. Well, when you say it's a bit odd, you
3	A I see it. And can you tell me, just so I can	3	don't think it's odd that it would be called an
4	follow you with hard copy, or I can just look.	4	integrated circuit, right?
5	Q You don't have the hard copy of this one, so	5	A It's just a fact that it's not odd that it's
6	unfortunately you're going to have to go it's	6	called an integrated circuit. System on a Chip,
7	very short. It's on the third of three pages of	7	as I had explained in the example, the System on
8	the PDF.	8	a Chip that I explained to you, we had separate,
9	A Okay.	9	integrated circuits. They had defined
10	Q And on the left, you will see that there is a	10	functions; for instance, for the radio and for
11	definition of System on a Chip. Let me know if	11	the telecommunications. And so to that
12	you see that?	12	you know, so there were separate, integrated
13	A Okay. I just have to read it.	13	circuits within the SoC. That's why it's called
14	MS. ALLOR: You should be able to zoom in	14	a System on a Chip. And they talk about that.
15	if you hover near the bottom of the page.	15	It's rather quirky and they say, Well, you know,
16	THE DEPONENT: Yeah, that's what I'm trying	16	you can kind of implement this by, you know,
17	to figure out.	17	predefined components, and they kind of parallel
18	BY MR. BENYACAR:	18	it with circuit boards.
19	Q Just let me know when you're ready, Doctor.	19	So we had and one would understand
20	A I'm reading. There's a lot to unpack here, so	20	circuits in the context of design. When people
21	just bear with me. I'm just trying to read	21	had specific roles, they designed a particular
22	through this.	22	circuit for a particular function. It doesn't
23	Q Well, I'll just ask you my question, and you can	23	really make that clear in this definition.
24	read whatever you think you need to read. But	24	That's the quirky part.
	Page 127		
			Page 129
1	my question is simply: Do you see the	1	Q So you don't disagree that you can have circuits
2	my question is simply: Do you see the definition begins, SoC, System on Chip, a	2	Q So you don't disagree that you can have circuits within circuits, right?
2 3	my question is simply: Do you see the definition begins, SoC, System on Chip, a silicon integrated circuit?	2 3	<ul><li>Q So you don't disagree that you can have circuits within circuits, right?</li><li>A There can be circuits within circuits if we</li></ul>
2 3 4	my question is simply: Do you see the definition begins, SoC, System on Chip, a silicon integrated circuit?  I'm just asking about that. Do you see	2 3 4	<ul><li>Q So you don't disagree that you can have circuits within circuits, right?</li><li>A There can be circuits within circuits if we ignore the claims and just speculate. In</li></ul>
2 3 4 5	my question is simply: Do you see the definition begins, SoC, System on Chip, a silicon integrated circuit?  I'm just asking about that. Do you see that?	2 3 4 5	<ul><li>Q So you don't disagree that you can have circuits within circuits, right?</li><li>A There can be circuits within circuits if we ignore the claims and just speculate. In general, there can be subcircuits.</li></ul>
2 3 4 5 6	my question is simply: Do you see the definition begins, SoC, System on Chip, a silicon integrated circuit?  I'm just asking about that. Do you see that?  A I see that.	2 3 4 5 6	<ul> <li>Q So you don't disagree that you can have circuits within circuits, right?</li> <li>A There can be circuits within circuits if we ignore the claims and just speculate. In general, there can be subcircuits.</li> <li>Q Now, the specification of the '775 patent does</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7	my question is simply: Do you see the definition begins, SoC, System on Chip, a silicon integrated circuit?  I'm just asking about that. Do you see that?  A I see that.  Q You don't disagree with that, do you?	2 3 4 5 6 7	<ul> <li>Q So you don't disagree that you can have circuits within circuits, right?</li> <li>A There can be circuits within circuits if we ignore the claims and just speculate. In general, there can be subcircuits.</li> <li>Q Now, the specification of the '775 patent does not use the word "circuit," does it?</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	my question is simply: Do you see the definition begins, SoC, System on Chip, a silicon integrated circuit?  I'm just asking about that. Do you see that?  A I see that.  Q You don't disagree with that, do you?  A I would like to have the benefit of reading the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	<ul> <li>Q So you don't disagree that you can have circuits within circuits, right?</li> <li>A There can be circuits within circuits if we ignore the claims and just speculate. In general, there can be subcircuits.</li> <li>Q Now, the specification of the '775 patent does not use the word "circuit," does it? And, again, just to be clear, by the</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	my question is simply: Do you see the definition begins, SoC, System on Chip, a silicon integrated circuit?  I'm just asking about that. Do you see that?  A I see that.  Q You don't disagree with that, do you?  A I would like to have the benefit of reading the whole thing.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	<ul> <li>Q So you don't disagree that you can have circuits within circuits, right?</li> <li>A There can be circuits within circuits if we ignore the claims and just speculate. In general, there can be subcircuits.</li> <li>Q Now, the specification of the '775 patent does not use the word "circuit," does it? And, again, just to be clear, by the specification, I'm excluding the claims.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	my question is simply: Do you see the definition begins, SoC, System on Chip, a silicon integrated circuit?  I'm just asking about that. Do you see that?  A I see that.  Q You don't disagree with that, do you?  A I would like to have the benefit of reading the whole thing.  Q Let me know when you're done.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	<ul> <li>Q So you don't disagree that you can have circuits within circuits, right?</li> <li>A There can be circuits within circuits if we ignore the claims and just speculate. In general, there can be subcircuits.</li> <li>Q Now, the specification of the '775 patent does not use the word "circuit," does it? And, again, just to be clear, by the specification, I'm excluding the claims.</li> <li>A One skilled in the art in reviewing this</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	my question is simply: Do you see the definition begins, SoC, System on Chip, a silicon integrated circuit?  I'm just asking about that. Do you see that?  A I see that.  Q You don't disagree with that, do you?  A I would like to have the benefit of reading the whole thing.  Q Let me know when you're done.  A Thank you.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	<ul> <li>Q So you don't disagree that you can have circuits within circuits, right?</li> <li>A There can be circuits within circuits if we ignore the claims and just speculate. In general, there can be subcircuits.</li> <li>Q Now, the specification of the '775 patent does not use the word "circuit," does it? And, again, just to be clear, by the specification, I'm excluding the claims.</li> <li>A One skilled in the art in reviewing this specification would understand "circuit." But</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	my question is simply: Do you see the definition begins, SoC, System on Chip, a silicon integrated circuit?  I'm just asking about that. Do you see that?  A I see that.  Q You don't disagree with that, do you?  A I would like to have the benefit of reading the whole thing.  Q Let me know when you're done.  A Thank you.  So your question again, please?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	<ul> <li>Q So you don't disagree that you can have circuits within circuits, right?</li> <li>A There can be circuits within circuits if we ignore the claims and just speculate. In general, there can be subcircuits.</li> <li>Q Now, the specification of the '775 patent does not use the word "circuit," does it?  And, again, just to be clear, by the specification, I'm excluding the claims.</li> <li>A One skilled in the art in reviewing this specification would understand "circuit." But if you do and, in fact, it talks about a chip</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	my question is simply: Do you see the definition begins, SoC, System on Chip, a silicon integrated circuit?  I'm just asking about that. Do you see that?  A I see that.  Q You don't disagree with that, do you?  A I would like to have the benefit of reading the whole thing.  Q Let me know when you're done.  A Thank you.  So your question again, please?  Q You don't agree with the statement you don't	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	<ul> <li>Q So you don't disagree that you can have circuits within circuits, right?</li> <li>A There can be circuits within circuits if we ignore the claims and just speculate. In general, there can be subcircuits.</li> <li>Q Now, the specification of the '775 patent does not use the word "circuit," does it?  And, again, just to be clear, by the specification, I'm excluding the claims.</li> <li>A One skilled in the art in reviewing this specification would understand "circuit." But if you do and, in fact, it talks about a chip at least twice. Implementation on a chip, so</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	my question is simply: Do you see the definition begins, SoC, System on Chip, a silicon integrated circuit?  I'm just asking about that. Do you see that?  A I see that.  Q You don't disagree with that, do you?  A I would like to have the benefit of reading the whole thing.  Q Let me know when you're done.  A Thank you.  So your question again, please?  Q You don't agree with the statement you don't disagree with the statement that says that a	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	<ul> <li>Q So you don't disagree that you can have circuits within circuits, right?</li> <li>A There can be circuits within circuits if we ignore the claims and just speculate. In general, there can be subcircuits.</li> <li>Q Now, the specification of the '775 patent does not use the word "circuit," does it?  And, again, just to be clear, by the specification, I'm excluding the claims.</li> <li>A One skilled in the art in reviewing this specification would understand "circuit." But if you do and, in fact, it talks about a chip at least twice. Implementation on a chip, so it's clear.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	my question is simply: Do you see the definition begins, SoC, System on Chip, a silicon integrated circuit?  I'm just asking about that. Do you see that?  A I see that.  Q You don't disagree with that, do you?  A I would like to have the benefit of reading the whole thing.  Q Let me know when you're done.  A Thank you.  So your question again, please?  Q You don't agree with the statement you don't disagree with the statement that says that a System on a Chip is a silicon integrated	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<ul> <li>Q So you don't disagree that you can have circuits within circuits, right?</li> <li>A There can be circuits within circuits if we ignore the claims and just speculate. In general, there can be subcircuits.</li> <li>Q Now, the specification of the '775 patent does not use the word "circuit," does it?  And, again, just to be clear, by the specification, I'm excluding the claims.</li> <li>A One skilled in the art in reviewing this specification would understand "circuit." But if you do and, in fact, it talks about a chip at least twice. Implementation on a chip, so it's clear.  But if I were to do a search outside of the</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	my question is simply: Do you see the definition begins, SoC, System on Chip, a silicon integrated circuit?  I'm just asking about that. Do you see that?  A I see that.  Q You don't disagree with that, do you?  A I would like to have the benefit of reading the whole thing.  Q Let me know when you're done.  A Thank you.  So your question again, please?  Q You don't agree with the statement you don't disagree with the statement that says that a System on a Chip is a silicon integrated circuit, do you?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<ul> <li>Q So you don't disagree that you can have circuits within circuits, right?</li> <li>A There can be circuits within circuits if we ignore the claims and just speculate. In general, there can be subcircuits.</li> <li>Q Now, the specification of the '775 patent does not use the word "circuit," does it?  And, again, just to be clear, by the specification, I'm excluding the claims.</li> <li>A One skilled in the art in reviewing this specification would understand "circuit." But if you do and, in fact, it talks about a chip at least twice. Implementation on a chip, so it's clear.  But if I were to do a search outside of the claims, claims fully inform me, but I don't</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	my question is simply: Do you see the definition begins, SoC, System on Chip, a silicon integrated circuit?  I'm just asking about that. Do you see that?  A I see that.  Q You don't disagree with that, do you?  A I would like to have the benefit of reading the whole thing.  Q Let me know when you're done.  A Thank you.  So your question again, please?  Q You don't agree with the statement you don't disagree with the statement that says that a System on a Chip is a silicon integrated circuit, do you?  A It is I don't disagree with that. Some of	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<ul> <li>Q So you don't disagree that you can have circuits within circuits, right?</li> <li>A There can be circuits within circuits if we ignore the claims and just speculate. In general, there can be subcircuits.</li> <li>Q Now, the specification of the '775 patent does not use the word "circuit," does it?  And, again, just to be clear, by the specification, I'm excluding the claims.</li> <li>A One skilled in the art in reviewing this specification would understand "circuit." But if you do and, in fact, it talks about a chip at least twice. Implementation on a chip, so it's clear.  But if I were to do a search outside of the claims, claims fully inform me, but I don't think the word "circuit" would show up on that</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	my question is simply: Do you see the definition begins, SoC, System on Chip, a silicon integrated circuit?  I'm just asking about that. Do you see that?  A I see that.  Q You don't disagree with that, do you?  A I would like to have the benefit of reading the whole thing.  Q Let me know when you're done.  A Thank you.  So your question again, please?  Q You don't agree with the statement you don't disagree with the statement that says that a System on a Chip is a silicon integrated circuit, do you?  A It is I don't disagree with that. Some of the characterizations and implementation details	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<ul> <li>Q So you don't disagree that you can have circuits within circuits, right?</li> <li>A There can be circuits within circuits if we ignore the claims and just speculate. In general, there can be subcircuits.</li> <li>Q Now, the specification of the '775 patent does not use the word "circuit," does it?  And, again, just to be clear, by the specification, I'm excluding the claims.</li> <li>A One skilled in the art in reviewing this specification would understand "circuit." But if you do and, in fact, it talks about a chip at least twice. Implementation on a chip, so it's clear.  But if I were to do a search outside of the claims, claims fully inform me, but I don't think the word "circuit" would show up on that search, but I'm not sure.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	my question is simply: Do you see the definition begins, SoC, System on Chip, a silicon integrated circuit?  I'm just asking about that. Do you see that?  A I see that.  Q You don't disagree with that, do you?  A I would like to have the benefit of reading the whole thing.  Q Let me know when you're done.  A Thank you.  So your question again, please?  Q You don't agree with the statement you don't disagree with the statement that says that a System on a Chip is a silicon integrated circuit, do you?  A It is I don't disagree with that. Some of the characterizations and implementation details I think are a bit different than having	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<ul> <li>Q So you don't disagree that you can have circuits within circuits, right?</li> <li>A There can be circuits within circuits if we ignore the claims and just speculate. In general, there can be subcircuits.</li> <li>Q Now, the specification of the '775 patent does not use the word "circuit," does it?  And, again, just to be clear, by the specification, I'm excluding the claims.</li> <li>A One skilled in the art in reviewing this specification would understand "circuit." But if you do and, in fact, it talks about a chip at least twice. Implementation on a chip, so it's clear.  But if I were to do a search outside of the claims, claims fully inform me, but I don't think the word "circuit" would show up on that search, but I'm not sure.</li> <li>Q Okay. Let me just make sure I understand your</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	my question is simply: Do you see the definition begins, SoC, System on Chip, a silicon integrated circuit?  I'm just asking about that. Do you see that?  A I see that.  Q You don't disagree with that, do you?  A I would like to have the benefit of reading the whole thing.  Q Let me know when you're done.  A Thank you.  So your question again, please?  Q You don't agree with the statement you don't disagree with the statement that says that a System on a Chip is a silicon integrated circuit, do you?  A It is I don't disagree with that. Some of the characterizations and implementation details I think are a bit different than having experienced. But, in general, you can consider	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<ul> <li>Q So you don't disagree that you can have circuits within circuits, right?</li> <li>A There can be circuits within circuits if we ignore the claims and just speculate. In general, there can be subcircuits.</li> <li>Q Now, the specification of the '775 patent does not use the word "circuit," does it?  And, again, just to be clear, by the specification, I'm excluding the claims.</li> <li>A One skilled in the art in reviewing this specification would understand "circuit." But if you do and, in fact, it talks about a chip at least twice. Implementation on a chip, so it's clear.  But if I were to do a search outside of the claims, claims fully inform me, but I don't think the word "circuit" would show up on that search, but I'm not sure.</li> <li>Q Okay. Let me just make sure I understand your answer. You said that the specification does</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	my question is simply: Do you see the definition begins, SoC, System on Chip, a silicon integrated circuit?  I'm just asking about that. Do you see that?  A I see that.  Q You don't disagree with that, do you?  A I would like to have the benefit of reading the whole thing.  Q Let me know when you're done.  A Thank you.  So your question again, please?  Q You don't agree with the statement you don't disagree with the statement that says that a System on a Chip is a silicon integrated circuit, do you?  A It is I don't disagree with that. Some of the characterizations and implementation details I think are a bit different than having experienced. But, in general, you can consider as one definition, a System on a Chip can be	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<ul> <li>Q So you don't disagree that you can have circuits within circuits, right?</li> <li>A There can be circuits within circuits if we ignore the claims and just speculate. In general, there can be subcircuits.</li> <li>Q Now, the specification of the '775 patent does not use the word "circuit," does it?  And, again, just to be clear, by the specification, I'm excluding the claims.</li> <li>A One skilled in the art in reviewing this specification would understand "circuit." But if you do and, in fact, it talks about a chip at least twice. Implementation on a chip, so it's clear.  But if I were to do a search outside of the claims, claims fully inform me, but I don't think the word "circuit" would show up on that search, but I'm not sure.</li> <li>Q Okay. Let me just make sure I understand your answer. You said that the specification does talk about a chip.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	my question is simply: Do you see the definition begins, SoC, System on Chip, a silicon integrated circuit?  I'm just asking about that. Do you see that?  A I see that.  Q You don't disagree with that, do you?  A I would like to have the benefit of reading the whole thing.  Q Let me know when you're done.  A Thank you.  So your question again, please?  Q You don't agree with the statement you don't disagree with the statement that says that a System on a Chip is a silicon integrated circuit, do you?  A It is I don't disagree with that. Some of the characterizations and implementation details I think are a bit different than having experienced. But, in general, you can consider as one definition, a System on a Chip can be considered an integrated circuit.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>Q So you don't disagree that you can have circuits within circuits, right?</li> <li>A There can be circuits within circuits if we ignore the claims and just speculate. In general, there can be subcircuits.</li> <li>Q Now, the specification of the '775 patent does not use the word "circuit," does it?  And, again, just to be clear, by the specification, I'm excluding the claims.</li> <li>A One skilled in the art in reviewing this specification would understand "circuit." But if you do and, in fact, it talks about a chip at least twice. Implementation on a chip, so it's clear.  But if I were to do a search outside of the claims, claims fully inform me, but I don't think the word "circuit" would show up on that search, but I'm not sure.</li> <li>Q Okay. Let me just make sure I understand your answer. You said that the specification does talk about a chip.  Are you saying that chip and circuit are</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	my question is simply: Do you see the definition begins, SoC, System on Chip, a silicon integrated circuit?  I'm just asking about that. Do you see that?  A I see that.  Q You don't disagree with that, do you?  A I would like to have the benefit of reading the whole thing.  Q Let me know when you're done.  A Thank you.  So your question again, please?  Q You don't agree with the statement you don't disagree with the statement that says that a System on a Chip is a silicon integrated circuit, do you?  A It is I don't disagree with that. Some of the characterizations and implementation details I think are a bit different than having experienced. But, in general, you can consider as one definition, a System on a Chip can be	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<ul> <li>Q So you don't disagree that you can have circuits within circuits, right?</li> <li>A There can be circuits within circuits if we ignore the claims and just speculate. In general, there can be subcircuits.</li> <li>Q Now, the specification of the '775 patent does not use the word "circuit," does it?  And, again, just to be clear, by the specification, I'm excluding the claims.</li> <li>A One skilled in the art in reviewing this specification would understand "circuit." But if you do and, in fact, it talks about a chip at least twice. Implementation on a chip, so it's clear.  But if I were to do a search outside of the claims, claims fully inform me, but I don't think the word "circuit" would show up on that search, but I'm not sure.</li> <li>Q Okay. Let me just make sure I understand your answer. You said that the specification does talk about a chip.</li> </ul>

	Page 298		Page 300
1	blocks but the CME blocks that are identified as	1	CERTIFICATE
2	part of the DOCSIS controller would be	2	I, Richard D. Ehrlich, a Certified Shorthand
3	implemented or could be implemented in	3	
4	portions of another processor or the same	4	Reporter of the State of Illinois, CSR License No.
5	processor. I've not gone through that analysis.		084-2019, do hereby certify that I stenographically
6	BY MR. BENYACAR:	5	reported the proceedings had at the Zoom video
7	Q So as you sit here today, you can't answer my	6	
8	question because you haven't done that analysis;	7	deposition, as aforesaid, and that the foregoing
9	is that right?		transcript is a true and accurate record of the
10	A That's correct.	8	proceedings had therein.
11	MR. BENYACAR: Okay. I have nothing else.	9	proceedings had therein.
12	Thank you.	10	IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I do set my hand at
13	THE DEPONENT: Thank you.	10	Chicago, Illinois, this 8th day of May, 2023.
14	MS. ALLOR: Thanks.	11	
15	VIDEOGRAPHER: Are we ready to go off the	12 13	
16	record?	14	U10 eal
17	MR. BENYACAR: Yes.	15 16	N.C. CAU
18	MS. ALLOR: Yep.		Certified Shorthand Reporter
19	THE DEPONENT: Yeah. If you have questions	17 18	License No. 084.004018
20	for me	19	
21 22	VIDEOGRAPHER: We are going off the record.  The time is 7:11 p.m. This concludes today's	20 21	
23	testimony.	22	
24	Total number of media units used was seven,	23 24	
27	Page 299	24	Page 301
1	and they will be retained by Veritext Legal	1	Katherine Allor, Esq.
2	Solutions.		katy.allor@klgates.com
3	(Signature reserved.)	3	May 8, 2023
4	(Zoom video deposition concluded.)	4	Entropic Communications LLC v Charter Communications Inc. et
5	(	5	5/4/2023, Dr Richard Kramer (#5900604)
6		6	The above-referenced transcript is available for
7		7	review.
8		8	Within the applicable timeframe, the witness should
9		9	read the testimony to verify its accuracy. If there are
10		10	any changes, the witness should note those with the
11		11	reason, on the attached Errata Sheet.
12		12	The witness should sign the Acknowledgment of
13		13	Deponent and Errata and return to the deposing attorney.
14		14 15	Copies should be sent to all counsel, and to Veritext at cs-ny@veritext.com.
15		16	es ny e ventezateoni.
16		17	Return completed errata within 30 days from
17			receipt of testimony.
18		19	If the witness fails to do so within the time
19		20	allotted, the transcript may be used as if signed.
20		21	
21		22	Yours,
22		23	Veritext Legal Solutions
23 24		24	